

JSR 364/Individuals Working Group Progress Report

Heather VanCura http://jcp.org 18 July 2014



1

Working Group

- Working Group Meetings
 - Tuesdays at 8:30 Pacific Time
 - Participants: Azul, Fujitsu, Goldman Sachs, IBM, Nokia, Oracle, Werner Keil, RedHat, SouJava, Mohamed Tamen, Patrick Curran, Heather VanCura
 - Teleconference and WebEx meetings
 - Java.net project home:
 - https://java.net/projects/jcpnext4/pages/Home
 - 3 Meetings since the last EC Meeting in June



June 17 Meeting

- We reviewed the meeting summary from the JCP EC June Meeting.
- We decided on the following:
 - Reviewed, discussed and updated Issue #1,2, 3, 4, 8, 44, 46; revisited Issue #29 (decided to keep closed/will not fix).
 - Assigned action items for adding language to the Process Document in preparation for EDR.
 - Agreed to incorporate changes addressed in Issue 33 (no TCK for process change JSRs).



June 24 Meeting

- We discussed and agreed that Heather would send out a summary of Issues addressed.
- Patrick would incorporate comments into first draft of revised Process Document for review by the EG prior to submission of EDR.



July 1 Meeting

• We reviewed the proposed EDR draft of the Process Document and agreed that we would present summary for approval at the EC Meeting.

53: Before publication: verify internal section references

Slight re-wording in section 3.2.2.1.

- 41: Add option for Spec Lead to voluntarily be Dormant in Process (additional changes)
- 48: Should Community Seats be held for only one year? (clarify that this is still unresolved)
- 3: Adjust Section 1.1, Transparency, to include Affiliate Members
- 5: Changes to Appendix B of the Process Document
- 8: Revise Section 2.4, Form the Expert Group, to include Affiliate Level Members (additional changes)
- 11: Member vs. Member Representative confusion
- 21: Fee structure changes
- 30: Should the review period be extended when an Expert Group publishes an update to a milestone draft?
- 31: Require Spec/Maintenance Lead to make statement for Release Ballots on expectations for follow-on work
- 33: Although there is no TCK for process-change JSRs we ought to "test" whether we successfully implement the changes we specify
- 37: Additional transarency requirements
- 41: Add option for Spec Lead to voluntarily be Dormant in Process
- 44: Track the employers of individual Full Members who are employed and associates of Full Corporate Members
- 51: Clarify Maintenance process
- 1: Add a section in the Process Document for Membership
- 2: Modify Process Document Definitions to reference Membership levels and other changes
- 4: Revise Executive Committee Policies and Procedures
- 8: Revise Section 2.4, Form the Expert Group, to include Affiliate Level Members
- 9: Update Section 1.1.2 of the Process Document
- 13: The definition of Agent is too broad
- 14: The link to http://www.w3.org/2001/05/rfc2777 should be formatted to indicate that it's a live link
- 19: Clarify Member definition
- 27: The Process Document ought to permit more flexibility in the timing of the annual elections
- 28: Make Maintenance Review Ballots 14 days instead of 7 days
- 32: Clarify the meaning of the term "conditional yes vote" in the Process Document
- 45: Should EC candidates have to choose whether they want to run for an elected or a community seat?
- 46: Full members as well as Affiliate members may be recognized as Contributors to a JSR



5

Next Steps

- Continue meetings on Tuesdays at 08:30 am PDT
- Submit proposed draft for EDR milestone posting on JCP.org.
- https://java.net/downloads/jcpnext4/Working %20Documents/JCP-2.10-v4-redline.pdf
 - Publish on https://jcp.org/en/jsr/detail?id=364
 - Prepare to submit Public Review incorporating comments and draft agreements in August 2014.
 - Proposed Final Draft in October 2014.

